ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag &
The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. OA - 366 of 2015

Prac	dip Kumar Roy Versus The State of V	Vest Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
14 09/07/2019	For the Applicant : Mr. A.K. Niyogi, Ld. Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. S.N. Ray, Ld. Advocate.	
	Supplementary application filed on behalf of the	
	applicant today is kept on record.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents for grant of officiating pay of the Head	
	Clerk for a period of 1 (one) month from December 01,	
	2013 to December 31, 2013.	
	The contention of the applicant is that he retired	
	from service on December 31, 2013 from the	
	establishment of the respondent no. 4,	
	Superintendent of Police, North 24-Parganas. The	
	further contention of the applicant is that he was	
	working as Accountant in Police Office immediately	
	before his officiating appointment as Head Clerk. He	
	worked as Head Clerk of Police Office of North 24	
	Parganas for a period of 1 (one) month during the	
	month of December 2013 on officiating basis in terms	
	of the order passed by the Superintendent of Police,	
	North 24 Parganas on December 2013 in anticipation	
	of approval of Deputy Inspector General of Police,	
	Presidency Range. The grievance of the applicant is	
	that he has not been paid salary for discharging his	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

_	3 T
Form	No.
1 (71 111	1 1 () .

Pradip Kumar Roy

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA –	366 of 2015	
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of
1	2	parties when necessary.
	duty as Head Clerk on officiating basis for a period of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1 (one) month in December 2013. The representation	
	submitted by the applicant in this regard before the	
	respondent no. 3 on March 15, 2014 has not been	
	considered as yet.	
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing both	
	parties and on consideration of the background of	
	filing the present application, we are of the view that	
	the representation submitted by the applicant should	
	be considered by the respondent no. 3 in accordance	
	with law within a specific period of time. Accordingly,	
	the respondent no. 3, the Deputy Inspector General of	
	Police, Presidency Range is directed to consider the	
	representation submitted by the applicant on March	
	15, 2014 in accordance with law within a period of 8	
	(eight) weeks from the date of communication of the	
	order and intimate the result of the same to the	
	applicant within a period of 4 (four) weeks thereafter.	
	With the above direction, the original application	
	stands disposed of.	
	Let a Plain Copy of the order be supplied to both	
	parties.	
Csm	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	